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## In brief

Unwanted antisense transcription might be detrimental for the cell. Heo et al. demonstrate that conserved RNA polymerase II phosphatase Ssu72 and histone deacetylase Hos2 collaborate to keep under control the cryptic antisense transcription that arises from the fission yeast genome.
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## SUMMARY

Phosphorylation of the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~T}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{7}$ consensus sequence coordinates key events during transcription, and its deregulation leads to defects in transcription and RNA processing. Here, we report that the histone deacetylase activity of the fission yeast Hos2/Set3 complex plays an important role in suppressing cryptic initiation of antisense transcription when RNA polymerase II phosphorylation is dysregulated due to the loss of Ssu72 phosphatase. Interestingly, although single Hos2 and Set3 mutants have little effect, loss of Hos2 or Set3 combined with ssu72 sense transcription globally and correlates with elevated sensitivity to genotoxic agents. We demonstrate a key role for the Ssu72/Hos2/Set3 mechanism in the suppression of cryptic antisense transcription at the $3^{\prime}$ end of convergent genes that are most susceptible to these defects, ensuring the fidelity of gene expression within dense genomes of simple eukaryotes.

## INTRODUCTION

The precise regulation of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) transcription is important for gene expression, co-transcriptional RNA processing, and chromatin structure (Bae et al., 2020; Bentley, 2014; Ebmeier et al., 2017; Fong et al., 2015; Hsin and Manley, 2012; Muñoz et al., 2010; Nojima et al., 2018; Rosonina et al., 2014; Saldi et al., 2016; Santos-Rosa et al., 2002; Tellier et al., 2020; Vasiljeva et al., 2008; Venkatesh et al., 2012). Recruitment of factors engaged in the regulation of transcription is mediated by phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the largest subunit of RNAPII. The CTD is modified within a repetitive conserved heptad sequence (Tyr1-Ser2-Pro3-Thr4-Ser5-Pro6Ser7) (Akhtar et al., 2009; Buratowski, 2009; Corden, 2013; Eick and Geyer, 2013; Fabrega et al., 2003; Govind et al., 2010; Jasnovidova and Stefl, 2013; Ng et al., 2003; Vasiljeva et al., 2008; Zaborowska et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2012). CTD phosphorylation on Tyr1, Ser2, Thr4, Ser5, and Ser7 is tightly controlled by various kinases and phosphatases (Cho et al., 2001; Harlen and Churchman, 2017; Jeronimo et al., 2016; Kecman et al., 2018a; Lyons et al., 2020; Nemec et al., 2019; Sanso and Fisher, 2013; Tietjen et al., 2010; Yurko and Manley, 2018), establishing RNAPII phosphorylation states specific to each stage of the transcription cycle (referred to as the "CTD
code"). Once unphosphorylated RNAPII binds to the promoter, TFIIH unwinds the downstream DNA to form a transcription bubble and phosphorylates Ser5 on the CTD (Holstege et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2000; Komarnitsky et al., 2000). During transcription elongation, Ctk1 and Bur1 kinases phosphorylate Ser2 (Cho et al., 2001; Murray et al., 2001).

Co-transcriptional modifications of chromatin fine-tune transcriptional activity by maintaining repressive chromatin and suppressing deleterious cryptic transcription from promoters and intragenic regions (Carrozza et al., 2005; Joshi and Struhl, 2005; Kim et al., 2012, 2016; Sansó et al., 2020). Like RNAPII phosphorylation, histone modifications occur in a stage-specific manner during transcription. Histone H 3 di- and trimethylation on lysine 4 (H3K4me2 and 3) by Set1 takes place early on during transcription, and Set1 recruitment relies on Ser5 phosphorylation of RNAPII (Bae et al., 2020; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Ng et al., 2003). The deposition of H3K36me during elongation by Set2 is linked to Ser2 phosphorylation (Krogan et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003; Schaft et al., 2003). Furthermore, H3K4me promotes recruitment of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) Hos2 (a part of Set3C complex) to promoters, whereas H3K36me recruits the HDAC Rpd3S to the gene body (Carrozza et al., 2005; Govind et al., 2010; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Kim et al., 2016; Li et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2007; Taverna et al., 2006).

Phosphatases Fcp1 and Ssu72 dephosphorylate Ser2 and Ser5 of RNAPII CTD, respectively (Cho et al., 2001; Krishnamurthy et al., 2004), whereas Thr4-P is removed by protein phosphatase 1 (PP1; or Dis2 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe) (Kecman et al., 2018a). In fission yeast, Dis2 (or Glc7 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and Ssu72 are proposed to interact with the mRNA 3-'end processing machinery (Cleavage Polyadenylation Factor [CPF]) (Casañal et al., 2017; Vanoosthuyse et al., 2014). Other components co-purify with the CPF in fission yeast, namely, scaffold proteins Pta1, Ppn1, and Swd2.2 (homologs to mammalian Symplekin, PNUTS, and WDR82). Ssu72 was shown to interact with the N -terminal part of Symplekin in S. cerevisiae and humans (Ghazy et al., 2009; Xiang et al., 2010). PP1 forms complexes with PNUTS and WDR82 in humans (Austenaa et al., 2015, 2021; Lee et al., 2010), suggesting that they might be arranged as a phosphatase module that constitutes part of CPF. A recent study suggested that the PP1-PNUTS-WDR82 complex might form between corresponding fission yeast homologs Dis2-Ppn1-Swd2.2 (Benjamin et al., 2021). PP1, PNUTS, and WDR82 control the expression of non-coding (nc) RNAs produced from enhancer regions (eRNAs) (Austenaa et al., 2015, 2021). Additionally, PP1 was implicated in the transcription termination of $n c$ and protein-coding (pc) genes in yeast and mammals (Cortazar et al., 2019; Eaton et al., 2020; Kecman et al., 2018a; Parua et al., 2018).

Studies in S. cerevisiae demonstrated that inactivation of Ssu72 is associated with defective transcription elongation (Dichtl et al., 2002), loss of promoter directionality (Tan-Wong et al., 2012), and transcription termination defects (Kim et al., 2006). Ssu72 acts with the Nrd1-Nab3-Sen1 (NNS) complex in termination of nc transcription independently of the polyadenylation signal (PAS) and with the CPF in mRNA $3^{\prime}$ end processing that relies on PAS (Kim et al., 2006; Steinmetz and Brow, 2003). However, the NNS-mediated transcription termination mechanism is not conserved. Instead, homologs of Nrd1, Seb1, and SCAF4 are involved in a CPF-dependent transcription termination mechanism common to all RNAPII transcripts ( pc and nc ) in fission yeast and mammals (Gregersen et al., 2019; Larochelle et al., 2018; Lemay et al., 2016; Wittmann et al., 2017). Fission yeast Ssu72 co-purifies with the CPF (Vanoosthuyse et al., 2014), suggesting that it contributes to the CPF function. Indeed, we provide evidence that the loss of Ssu72 leads to an mRNA $3^{\prime}$-end processing and transcription termination defect at a specific subset of genes wherein genes that are in a convergent orientation constitute the majority.

Here, we show that Ssu72 controls CTD phosphorylation and transcription elongation. Upregulated Ser5-P in ssu72 $\Delta$ correlates with a global loss of Ser2-P and increased cryptic antisense transcription. Similarly, the substitution of Ser2 to Ala within all 29 repeats of the CTD results in elongation defects, accumulation of the $3^{\prime}$ extended RNAs at convergent genes, and a global increase in antisense transcription. Unexpectedly, we demonstrate that Ssu72-dependent cryptic antisense transcription is suppressed by Hos2 HDAC, whereas Hos2 alone does not have a noticeable effect on terminator-derived antisense transcription. We propose that Ssu72 and Hos2 act in conjunction to suppress cryptic transcription. Our study reveals a role for chromatin-modifying HDAC activity in the "quality control" of gene expression. We propose a mechanism of how high-fidelity
gene expression is achieved within dense genomes of simple eukaryotes.

## RESULTS

Loss of Ssu72 leads to a transcription elongation defect in fission yeast
Due to the essential nature of Ssu72 in S. cerevisiae, previous studies were limited to the use of temperature-sensitive Ssu72 mutant strains (Pappas and Hampsey, 2000). To further explore the importance of Ssu72 in RNAPII transcription at a physiological temperature, we used a strain lacking Ssu72, as it is nonessential in fission yeast. We assessed the effect on RNAPII levels in the absence of Ssu72 using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq). Analysis of the spike-in normalized data revealed a reduction in RNAPII occupancy after the first ~250 bp downstream of the transcription start site (TSS) in ssu72d (Figures 1A and B), suggestive of an elongation defect. Analysis of the RNAPII "traveling ratio" (STAR Methods; Reppas et al., 2006; Shetty et al., 2017) also implies that transcription elongation is compromised in ssu72d cells (Figures 1C). To further assess the requirement of Ssu72 for normal elongation, we tested whether ssu72d cells are sensitive to 6 -azauracil (6-AU) that reduces RNAPII processivity by depleting the intracellular pool of UTP and GTP (Mason and Struhl, 2005; Shaw and Reines, 2000). The ssu724 is more sensitive to 6-AU than the wild type (WT) (Figure 1D), which supports the role of Ssu72 in transcription elongation.

## Deletion of Ssu72 changes the RNAPII CTD phosphorylation pattern

Ssu72 acts as an RNAPII Ser5 and Ser7 phosphatase in budding yeast and mammals (Krishnamurthy et al., 2004; Xiang et al., 2010, 2012). To assess how Ssu72 affects CTD phosphorylation in fission yeast, we analyzed the distribution of Ser5-P, Ser7-P, Ser2-P, and Tyr1-P (Figures 2 and S1A-S1D) genome wide in WT and ssu72 cells by using calibrated ChIP-seq. In WT, Ser5-P and Ser7-P peak downstream of the TSS and decrease gradually toward the end of the genes, whereas Ser2-P and Tyr1-P peak at the end of the genes, in agreement with previous reports (Bataille et al., 2012; Mayer et al., 2010). There is a smaller peak of Ser5-P and Ser7-P RNAPII around the PAS region (Figures S1A and S1B). As expected, an increase in Ser5-P and Ser7-P levels was observed in ssu724, suggesting that Ssu72 dephosphorylates these residues, which is consistent with data reported for S. cerevisiae Ssu72 (Bataille et al., 2012). Surprisingly, we also observed a simultaneous decrease in Ser2-P and Tyr1-P levels, which might explain the reduced elongation rate observed in ssu72a. Hyper-phosphorylation of Ser5/7 might affect the recruitment or activity of the kinase(s) responsible for Ser2-P/ Tyr1-P. In mammalian cells, Tyr1-P was demonstrated to promote phosphorylation on Ser2 (Mayfield et al., 2019), which could explain why we observed a simultaneous decrease of both marks. To test whether the ability of RNAPII to transcribe is directly affected by the lack of functional Ssu72, we purified RNAPII from WT and ssu72s cells and compared the activity of purified polymerases in an in vitro transcription elongation


Figure 1. Ssu72 is important for normal transcription elongation
(A) Heatmap shows the distribution of Rpb1 (8WG16) ChIP-seq read counts in 10-bp bins ( $\log _{2}$ (ssu72a/WT) spike-in normalized to S. cerevisiae Rbp1). Each line in the heatmap indicates a TU with an assigned number ( $\mathrm{n}=5,474$ ) and $500-\mathrm{bp}$ flanking TSS and PAS (dotted lines). All TUs were aligned at TSS and sorted by their lengths (indicated on the y axis). Genes longer than 4.5 kb were trimmed at 4.5 kb .
(B) Metagene profile of Rpb1 median occupancy in WT (blue) and ssu72 4 (red). The shaded regions represent 95\% confidence intervals.
(C) All genes ( $\mathrm{n}=5,474$ ) sorted based on the "RNAPII traveling ratio" defined as $\log _{2}\left(5^{\prime}\right.$ occupancy/3' occupancy) within 200-bp bins in WT (blue) and ssu72 4 (red). "The traveling ratio" and corresponding fraction of genes are plotted on the $x$ and $y$ axis, respectively, as a cumulative distribution. Two biological replicates (solid and dotted lines) are shown.
(D) The sensitivity to 6-AU of WT and ssu $72 \Delta$ strains. See also Figure S1.
assay. RNAPII purified from ssu72 4 and WT strains had similar elongation properties (Figures S1E and S1F) supporting the previously suggested role of trans-acting factors in mediating the function of RNAPII phosphorylation in transcription.

## Dysregulated CTD phosphorylation correlates with altered gene expression in ssu724

To assess how transcriptional changes observed in ssu $72 \Delta$ cells affect RNA levels globally, we carried out RNA sequencing (RNAseq) on rRNA-depleted total RNA isolated from WT and ssu72 cells. The differential expression analysis revealed that levels of 413 transcripts ( 316 mRNAs) were significantly decreased ( $>1.5$-fold, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) (Figure 3A), and those of 132 transcripts ( 56 mRNAs) were increased in ssu72 ${ }^{2}$ cells ( $>1.5$-fold, $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.05) (Figure 3A).

Next, we performed a Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of significantly reduced ( $n=316$ ) or increased ( $n=56$ ) mRNAs. Genes encoding for components of cellular biosynthesis pathways were downregulated in ssu72 contrast, levels of mRNAs encoding proteins involved in iron uptake were increased (Figures 3B and 3C). This is in line with a study reporting upregulation of mRNAs encoding proteins involved in iron metabolism in a catalytically defective Ssu72 mutant (Sanchez et al., 2019). Interestingly, a similar effect on iron-responsive genes was reported for RNAPII CTD Y1F or S2A RNAPII CTD mutants (Schwer et al., 2014). Therefore, dysregulated CTD phosphorylation on Ser2 and Tyr1 in ssu $72 \Delta$ cells may contribute to an increased expression of mRNAs engaged in iron uptake.
tion of ation of transcription at cryptic sites (Cheung et al., 2008; DeGennaro et al., 2013; Kaplan et al., 2003; Shetty et al., 2017). Therefore, we wanted to test whether the elongation defect observed in ssu72 4 cells coincides with increased cryptic transcription.
A mild, global decrease in the number of reads along the gene body of annotated transcription units (TUs) was observed, which is in agreement with the elongation defect in ssu72s cells (Figure 4A). On the other hand, an increase in the number of reads produced from the opposite strand was observed in ssu72 ure 4B). We selected only non-overlapping TUs (3,107 out of 5,474; Eser et al., 2016) for subsequent analysis. We then assessed the changes in the expression level of transcripts produced from the coding strand of the annotated TUs as well as from the opposite strand in ssu724 (Figure S2A). This assessment revealed that the expression of 267 transcripts ( 218 mRNAs ) was decreased, whereas the expression of 51 transcripts ( 39 mRNAs) was increased ( $>1.5$-fold, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) upon loss of Ssu72. In contrast, an increase in antisense reads for 519 TUs was observed in ssu724 cells. We evaluated whether concordantly mapped antisense reads induced in the ssu $72 \Delta$ mutant can be assembled into continuous transcripts. We have identified 277 potential novel nc transcripts predicted to be longer than 50 nucleotides (nt) and upregulated by the deletion of Ssu72 (>1.5 fold; Table S2; as described in STAR Methods). A total of 265 out of the predicted 277 transcripts have overlapping TUs on the opposite strand. Previous work demonstrated that cryptic antisense transcription occurring in yeast genomes (S. cerevisiae and S. pombe) can yield unstable transcripts degraded by the exonuclease Xrn1 (named XUTs) (van Dijk et al., 2011; Wery et al., 2018). However, levels


Figure 2. The deletion of Ssu72 causes significant changes in RNAPII CTD phosphorylation
Heatmap shows $\log _{2}$ ratios between ssu72 WT of CTD phosphorylation on Ser5 (A), Ser7 (B), Ser2 (C), and Tyr1 (D) using 10-bp bins. Data were normalized by the level of Rpb1 shown in Figure 1. Each line in the heatmap indicates a TU with an assigned number.
See also Figure S1.
tated genes or the read-through transcription from the upstream convergent genes (Figure S2D).

Although the biological consequences of pervasive or cryptic transcription are not fully understood, it is known that overlapping antisense transcription could affect the transcription of coding genes on the opposite DNA strand (Berretta et al., 2008; Camblong et al., 2007; Castelnuovo et al., 2013; Wery et al., 2018). To investigate how Ssu72-dependent antisense transcription correlates with changes in the expression of overlapping TUs, we split known genes into two groups, namely, those that overlap with the novel antisense transcripts $(\mathrm{n}=241)$ and those that do not ( $n=2,866$ ), and compared normalized counts for these
of only a small number of XUTs (18 out of 1,638 XUTs) are increased in the absence of Ssu72 (Figure S2B). To examine whether depletion of Ssu72 also leads to increased expression of other previously annotated nc transcripts, we analyzed how their abundance is affected in the ssu724. The abundance of the annotated ncRNAs is not significantly changed in ssu72d cells, implying that Ssu72 is responsible for the derepression of a specific subset of the cryptic transcripts (Figure S2C).

It was shown that gene promoters or terminators can give rise to antisense transcription (van Dijk et al., 2011; Neil et al., 2009; TanWong et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2009). Ssu72 was proposed to suppress antisense transcription derived from the bi-directional promoters in S. cerevisiae (Tan-Wong et al., 2012), but it is not clear whether promoter bi-directionality is a prominent feature in fission yeast. To assess whether Ssu72 regulates antisense transcription arising from promoters or terminators, we measured the distance between the predicted TSS of these transcripts and the closest annotated PAS and TSS on the same or the opposite strands (Figure S2D). The TSS of the Ssu72-dependent transcripts aligns well with the position of annotated PAS on both strands but not with the TSS of annotated genes (Figure S2D). Interestingly, 223 antisense transcripts were produced from the loci where annotated genes are organized in convergent orientation (Figure S2D), such as cyr1-spbc19c7.04c (Figures 5A and 5B). Because RNAseq cannot reliably map the TSS of novel transcripts seen in ssu724, novel antisense transcripts could result either from the new transcription initiation event at the terminators of the anno-
two groups. This investigation revealed a reduced abundance of annotated transcripts in the Ssu72 mutant regardless of cryptic transcription (Figure S2E). We conclude that an increase in antisense transcription does not have a significant effect on the steady-state levels of overlapping pc transcripts.

## Ssu72 contributes to the transcription termination of selected genes

Although no obvious increase in RNAPII occupancy downstream of the PAS is seen globally (Figures 1A and 1B), we analyzed whether individual genes show a defect in $3^{\prime}$ end processing and transcription termination in the absence of Ssu72. First, we analyzed RNA-seq data to find genes that show an increase in the reads downstream of the annotated PAS, which is observed when mRNA $3^{\prime}$ end processing is impaired (Wittmann et al., 2017). To be able to correlate RNA-seq data with the RNAPII profile, we selected TUs that do not have another gene on the same strand (within 250 bp upstream and downstream) for analysis (4,870 out of 6,952 TUs using combined annotation from Eser et al., 2016 and Lock et al., 2019). We identified 507 TUs (441 pc genes) with more than a 2-fold increase in reads past the PAS (Table S3; Figures 4C and 4D). Accumulation of the $3^{\prime}$ readthrough RNAs coincides with the shift in RNAPII occupancy in these regions in ssu72 (Figure 4D). We conclude that Ssu72 contributes to $3^{\prime}$ end processing and transcription termination of selected genes in fission yeast. We noticed that 358 out of 507 TUs with $3^{\prime}$ read-through ( $\sim 70 \%$ ) were in a convergent orientation


C

to the neighboring gene. This finding is consistent with a study that reported a transcription termination defect at convergent genes in the absence of Swd2.2, another component of the proposed phosphatase module (Vanoosthuyse et al., 2014). To determine whether the read-through transcription overlaps with the antisense transcription observed within convergent loci in ssu72a, we analyzed whether the genes located upstream of the 277 novel antisense transcripts show $3^{\prime}$ read-through. Indeed, 51 out

Figure 3. Loss of Ssu72 causes changes in the transcriptome
(A) A volcano plot shows significantly up- (in red) and downregulated (in blue) genes in ssu724 ( $\mathrm{p}<$ $0.05,>1.5$-fold).
(B) Gene Ontology of the transcripts upregulated in ssu72a.
(C) RNA-seq genome browser tracks for ironresponsive genes str1 and frp1 from WT (blue) and ssu72 ${ }^{\text {(red). }}$
See also Table S1.
of the 507 genes defective in termination were found upstream of novel antisense transcripts, suggesting that 51 out of 277 antisense RNAs are derived from readthrough transcription rather than de novo transcription initiation. We conclude that Ssu72 suppresses promiscuous transcription either through ensuring accurate termination or preventing unwanted transcription initiation at the convergent regions. We asked whether defective $3^{\prime}$ end processing of mRNA observed in the ssu724 mutant could be due to the loss of Ser2-P in this strain. To assess the contribution of Ser2-P, we performed RNA-seq with an S2A mutant of RNAPII that revealed accumulation of the $3^{\prime}$ extended RNA species (Figures 4C and S2F). Interestingly, more than one-half of the genes affected also accumulated read-through RNAs in the absence of Ssu72, suggesting that decreased phosphorylation on Ser2 could underpin the transcription termination defect observed in the Ssu72 mutant (Figure S2F). This result is in agreement with the study showing defective recruitment of the mRNA $3^{\prime}$-end processing factors when S. cerevisiae Ser2-P kinase Ctk1 is deleted (Ahn et al., 2004).

Set3C/Hos2-mediated surveillance mechanism prevents the activation of cryptic transcription in the absence of Ssu72
HDAC complexes were implicated in preventing unwanted cryptic transcription in S. cerevisiae. Thus, Set2/Rpd3S and Set1/Set3/Hos2 complexes are involved in suppressing transcription initiation from cryptic promoters within the gene body and $5^{\prime}$ regions (Carrozza et al., 2005; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Li et al., 2007). In fission yeast, Hos2 and Clr6 are homologs of S. cerevisiae Hos2 and Rpd3, respectively (Wang et al., 2002; Wirén et al., 2005). We, therefore, tested whether HDACs are involved in the regulation of cryptic transcripts suppressed by Ssu72. Although most


Figure 4. Cells lacking Ssu72 show increased antisense (AS) transcription
(A and B) Heatmaps showing the $\log _{2}$ ratio value of the number of reads (ssu72 $\Delta / W T$ ) mapped to the sense (A) and the AS (B) strands. Each line indicates a TU. All TUs were aligned at TSS and sorted by length.
(C) RNA-seq genome browser tracks depicting $3^{\prime}$-end read-through transcription in ssu72 ${ }^{\text {and } r p b 1-C T D-S 2 A . ~ T h e ~ t r a c k s ~ o f ~ W T, ~ s s u 72 \Delta, ~ a n d ~ r p b 1-C T D-S 2 A ~}$ are blue, red, and violet, respectively.
(D) Metagene profiles of RNA-seq and RNAPII ChIP data show defective $3^{\prime}$-end processing and RNAPII termination at selected genes ( $\mathrm{n}=507$ ) in ssu724. See also Figure S2 and Tables S2 and S3.
genomic loci in which we detected longer Ssu72-dependent antisense transcripts are represented by convergent genes, such as cyr1-spbc19c7.04c, some cases are not convergent, such as pom152-spncRNA. 1527 (Figure 5A) that were selected as two representative loci for subsequent analysis. In agreement with RNA-seq results, the accumulation of cryptic antisense transcripts produced from pom152 and cyr1 was observed in ssu724 cells
(Figure 5B). In contrast, antisense transcription was not observed in the Hos2 single mutant (Figure 5B). Strikingly, a strong synergistic accumulation of antisense RNA was observed in the hos $2 \Delta s s u 72 \Delta$ compared with the single ssu $72 \Delta$ mutant (Figure 5B, lanes $2,4,6$, and 8 ) suggesting that in the context of functional phosphorylation of RNAPII, HDAC activity is not required and Ssu72 alone is sufficient to repress cryptic antisense transcription.


Figure 5. The Hos2 HDAC complex represses cryptic AS transcription in the absence of Ssu72
(A) RNA-seq genome browser tracks for representative loci (cyr1 and pom152) and their neighboring genes (spbc19c7.04c and spncrna.1527). The tracks of WT, ssu72 , hos $2 \Delta$, and hos $2 \Delta s s u 72 \Delta$ are blue, red, green, and crimson, respectively. For each gene, seven tracks are presented, as follows: the first four are derived from the first batch of experiments and the remaining from the second batch (compare Figures 5D and 5E).
(B) Levels of the AS RNA and mRNA produced from the annotated genes (cyr1 and pom152) were assessed by northern blot in the indicated strains.

Hos2 was proposed to be a catalytic subunit of the Set3 complex (Set3C) containing Set3, Snt1, Sif2, Hos4, Hst1, and Cpr1 proteins in S. cerevisiae (Pijnappel et al., 2001). To identify components of the S. pombe Set3C, we purified the native Hos2 complex by using affinity and size exclusion chromatography followed by mass spectrometry analysis (Table S4). Purification has revealed that Set3, Snt1, and Hif2 (a homolog of S. cerevisiae Sif2) proteins form a stable complex with Hos2 (Figure 5C). Another HDAC, Hst1, is a part of Set3C in S. cerevisiae (Pijnappel et al., 2001). However, our data suggest that, in fission yeast, Hos2 is the only HDAC associated with Set3C. Next, we tested whether an entire complex or only Hos2 is required for suppression of antisense transcription. Similarly to ssu72 4 hos $2 \Delta$, ssu72$\Delta$ set $3 \Delta$ cells show synergistic derepression of cryptic transcription (Figure S3A, lanes 2, 5, and 6). We conclude that Set3C plays a specific role in the suppression of cryptic transcription in the absence of Ssu72, representing a chromatin-based surveillance mechanism. The functional synergy between Ssu72 and the Hos2/Set3C HDAC complex is also evident from the effect of simultaneous deletion of Ssu72 and Set3C on growth (Figure S3B). A strain lacking both Ssu72 and Set3 grows noticeably slower than the WT and each of the single mutants (ssu72 and set3s). Interestingly, the synergistic growth defect observed in ssu72 $\Delta$ set $3 \Delta$ is further exacerbated when cells are grown on plates containing agents that induce DNA damage or interfere with DNA replication, such as methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and hydroxyurea (HU). A similar sensitivity is also seen in ssu72$\Delta h o s 2 \Delta$. Additive sensitivity to elevated and low temperatures is also observed in these mutants, suggesting that severe derepression of cryptic transcription might affect proper stress responses.

To investigate whether Hos2 is required to prevent transcription at cryptic promoters genome wide, we performed RNAseq in WT, ssu72 , hos2 $\Delta$, and ssu72 Interestingly, the hos $2 \Delta$ single mutant does not show a significant change of cryptic transcription within 277 genomic loci where we find Ssu72 regulated transcripts (the median of $\log _{2^{-}}$ normalized counts [MLNC] $=4.9$ in hos2 $\Delta$ ) compared with the WT (MLNC = 4.7). In contrast, the ssu72ahos2a mutant (MLNC $=6$ ) shows a significant increase in the levels of cryptic transcripts compared with WT and a single ssu72 (MLNC = 5.5). A similar tendency is observed globally; only a few antisense transcripts were detected in a Hos2 single mutant compared with unperturbed cells (Figure 5E), whereas levels of multiple antisense transcripts are increased in ssu724. A strong additive increase in the abundance and number of the antisense transcripts is observed in ssu72 hos $2 \Delta$ compared to those of single ssu724 (Figures 5E and S3C). This finding suggests that

Hos2 plays a key role in suppressing antisense transcription genome wide upon loss of functional Ssu72 when phosphorylation of the RNAPII is dysregulated.

In agreement with Hos2 acting as an HDAC, an increase in H4 acetylation is observed in hos $2 \Delta$ and ssu72 hhos $2 \Delta$ at cyr1, pom152, and rps401 genes (Figure 6A) with the most prominent effect seen at the promoter. We have assessed whether the loss of Hos2 affects the expression of these genes. Only selected mRNAs are affected by Hos2 depletion (where 66 mRNAs are increased $>1.5$ fold, $p<0.05$ ), including those involved in stress response, sporulation, cell cycle control, and iron assimilation (Figure S3D; Table S5).

We noticed lower levels of H 4 in ssu72 $\Delta$ than those in the WT, which are even further reduced in ssu $72 \Delta h o s 2 \Delta$ at the loci associated with antisense transcription (cyr1 and pom152) (Figure S3E). Additionally, terminators of genes with antisense transcription show lower nucleosome occupancy than randomly selected genes (Figure 6B). This result suggests that a lower nucleosome occupancy might render these loci more susceptible to the loss of Ssu72 and favor antisense transcription.

Previous studies demonstrated that HDAC complex Clr6 (Rpd3S in S. cerevisiae) is involved in the suppression of intragenic antisense transcription (Nicolas et al., 2007; Sansó et al., 2020). Accordingly, we observed a strong increase in antisense transcription in clr6-1 (Figure S4A, lanes 3 and 7). The deletion of Ssu72 leads to a partial suppression of antisense transcription in clr6-1 (Figure S4A, lanes 4 and 8), suggesting that the increased antisense transcription observed in ssu724 is not due to compromised Clr6 function. Instead, functional Ssu72 appears to be required for antisense transcription observed in the Clr6 mutant. It is possible that in the absence of Ssu72, Hos2 can suppress Clr6-dependent antisense transcripts. Although outside the scope of the current work, it would be interesting to test this possibility in the future.

To further explore functional links between Ssu72 and chromatin modifiers, we have tested the contribution of H3K4 methyltransferase Set1 that was implicated in the recruitment of the Set3C complex to promoter regions. In contrast to the ssu72$\Delta h o s 2 \Delta$, the ssu72 2 set1 $\Delta$ mutant did not show a synergistic increase in antisense transcription (Figure S3A, lanes 1-4), although some levels of the antisense RNA were detectable in each single mutant.

Characterization of the loci associated with the Ssu72dependent antisense transcription
To further understand how Ssu72 represses antisense transcription, we asked whether transcripts detected in ssu72 initiated from the terminator region by examining whether general
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Figure 6. Characterization of the Ssu72-dependent AS transcripts
(A) ChIP-qPCR analysis of relative H 4 acetylation $(\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{Ac} / \mathrm{H} 4)$ levels across the cyr1, pom152, and rps 401 genes in WT, ssu72a, hos2a, and ssu72 2 hos2a. The diagram shows the organization of loci and positions of primer pairs used for qPCR depicted as back bars. Red arrows indicate TSS position. The quantification of ChIP-qPCR shows the ratio of IP over the input signal. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Results are an average of 3 repeats for WT and ssu $72 \Delta$ and 2 repeats for hos $2 \Delta$ and ssu $72 \Delta h o s 2 \Delta$, except pom 152 loci were repeated twice for all strains.
(B) The presence of AS transcription ssu72 is associated with decreased nucleosome occupancy at the TSS and PAS. Boxplots showing nucleosome occupancy over 150 nt of the TSS and PAS of genes with Ssu72-dependent AS transcripts ( 277 TUs) (pink) and randomly selected 554 genes (green) by using nucleosome occupancy data from Atkinson et al. (2018). The significance is calculated either according to the Mann-Whitney U test for independent or Wilcoxon rank-sum test for matching gene sets. Multiple testing was controlled by Bonferroni correction.
transcription initiation factors are recruited to the terminator region in ssu72 . Recruitment of the kinase component of TFIIH, Mcs6 (Cdk7 in mammals), that phosphorylates RNAPII CTD on Ser5 was assessed in ssu72 and WT strains (Figure 6C). This showed increased Mcs6 occupancy at the terminator region of pom152. Although the Mcs6 signal was not high, possibly due to transient association of the kinase during transcription, the change observed in ssu72s is reproducible. Consistent with this finding, an increase in Ser5-P levels was more prominent at the terminators of the genes with antisense transcription than those at terminators of the random genes (Figure 6D). In contrast to ssu72a, deletion of the phosphatase Dis2, involved in mediating efficient transcription termination in fission yeast and mammalian cells (Cortazar et al., 2019; Kecman et al., 2018a; Parua et al., 2018), had no effect on the accumulation of the pom152 antisense RNA (Figure S4B) either on its own or in combination with Hos2 deletion. These data suggest that at least in some instances, antisense transcription could be a result of de novo transcription initiation rather than read-through from the upstream gene.

We assessed how the loss of Ser2-P on RNAPII in the S2A mutant affected the accumulation of antisense transcription. Like Ssu72 mutants, levels of multiple antisense transcripts were significantly increased ( $n=1,448,>1.5$ fold, false discovery rate $[F D R]<0.05$ ) in the S2A mutant (Figures 7A, 7B, and S4C). Most of the Ssu72-dependent antisense transcripts also accumulated in the S2A mutant (447 out of 519), suggesting that decreased Ser2-P might contribute to the increase in antisense transcription in the absence of Ssu72 (Figure S4C). As in the Ssu72 mutant, a global reduction of RNA levels was observed in the RNAPII S2A mutant, possibly due to defective transcription elongation (Figure 7C). It was reported that inhibition of the catalytic activity of an analog sensitive mutant of Cdk9 kinase results in derepression of antisense transcription produced from the gene bodies of selected genes in fission yeast (Sansó et al., 2020). Furthermore, the Cdk9-affected group of genes was enriched for genes with promoter-proximal pausing without any bias toward convergent gene pairs. We observed no difference in RNAPII levels upstream of promoters for Ssu72-dependent genes compared to randomly selected genes (Figure 7D; Booth et al., 2016), implying that Ssu72 and Cdk9 affect cryptic transcription of different groups of genes. Indeed, only a minor fraction of the antisense transcripts observed upon Cdk9 inhibition were increased in the Ssu72 mutant (Figure S4C). Our observations that the loss of Ssu72 mimics the S2A phenotype is in line with a previous study that identified Lsk1 as a major kinase responsible for Ser2-P in fission yeast (Coudreuse et al., 2010).

## DISCUSSION

Post-translational modifications on histones and RNAPII play important roles in gene regulation. We reveal a molecular link be-
tween these two processes by demonstrating that the HDAC complex Set3C acts in the absence of RNAPII phosphatase Ssu72 to suppress the accumulation of cryptic antisense transcription (Figure 7E).

The loss of Ssu72 results in a global decrease in transcription elongation but increased initiation of cryptic transcription from terminators and transcriptional read-through. The latter defects are particularly pronounced at convergent genes. A previous study also proposed a role for Ssu72 in the suppression of antisense transcription in S. cerevisiae, where it regulates antisense transcription at promoters rather than terminators (Tan-Wong et al., 2012). This implies that Ssu72 has a conserved, although mechanistically distinct function, in the suppression of cryptic transcription in different yeast species. Our data suggest that upregulation of cryptic transcription at convergent genes may arise from new initiation events or a failure to terminate transcription when RNAPII phosphorylation is dysregulated in ssu72a. Interestingly, loci associated with cryptic antisense transcription in the Ssu72 mutant show lower nucleosome density. This finding is consistent with the observation that convergent genes show high occupancy of the histone variant H2A.Z (that has a preference for nucleosome-free regions). It was also demonstrated that convergent genes are more prone to antisense transcription even in unperturbed cells (Ni et al., 2010). The Set3C/Hos2 complex was implicated in mediating repositioning and remodeling of H2A.Z containing nucleosomes (Hang and Smith, 2011), which might explain why convergent genes are primarily affected by the Ssu72/Hos2 pathway. On the other hand, reduced nucleosome occupancy at the $3^{\prime}$ end of the genes can also impair the efficiency of transcription termination and facilitate transcriptional read-through (Hildreth et al., 2020). We notice that RNAPII phosphorylation on Ser5 is affected more prominently at gene terminators associated with Ssu72-dependent antisense transcription, which may explain why these regions are more sensitive to the loss of Ssu72.

We demonstrate that deletion of the HDAC subunit Hos2 of the Set3C does not lead to the induction of antisense transcription or show a major effect on the steady-state levels of mRNAs genome wide in fission yeast. Consistently, in S. cerevisiae, Hos2/Set3C contributes to the regulation of a specific subset of $p c$ genes involved in DNA damage response (Sharma et al., 2007), sporulation (Pijnappel et al., 2001), and nc transcription that overlaps metabolic genes mediating the kinetics of their induction (Kim et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2002). However, deletion of Hos2 leads to a striking additive increase in antisense transcription in the cells lacking Ssu72. We demonstrate that Set3C suppresses antisense transcription from gene terminators derived from either de novo transcription initiation or read-through from the downstream gene. This suggests that the role of chromatin modifiers in suppressing nc transcription is not limited to the promoter and gene body. However, because the effect on H 4 acetylation in the absence of Hos2 outside of promoter regions is
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Figure 7. Loss of RNAPII Ser2 phosphorylation correlates with the accumulation of AS transcription and elongation defect (A) Levels of the AS RNA produced from pom152 were assessed by northern blot in the indicated strains. rRNA is used as a loading control.
(B) RNA-seq genome browser tracks presenting AS transcription at representative loci (cyr1 and pom152) and their neighboring genes (spbc19c7.04c and spncrna.1527). The tracks of WT and rpb1-CTD-S2A are blue and violet, respectively.
(C) Metaprofile of RNA-seq data in WT (blue) and RNAPII-S2A strains (violet). The median of spike-in normalized reads is plotted for genes that do not have any annotated transcript on the same strand in the 250-bp region either upstream of the TSS or downstream of the PAS.
(D) RNAPII pausing at TSS or PAS in ssu724 for groups of genes as in (B) by using RNAPII pausing data from Booth et al. (2016). Statistical analysis as in Figure 6B.
rather mild, we do not rule out the possibility that Hos2 could also mediate the repression of antisense transcription by deacetylating other substrates or independently of its catalytic activity. It is also possible that increased antisense transcription in the Ssu72 mutant renders terminators more sensitive to alterations in the chromatin structure upon the loss of Set3C, leading to additive induction of nc transcription.

Co-transcriptional recruitment of Set3C, Rpd3L, and Rpd3S to promoters and gene body regions relies on histone methylation by Set1 and Set2 (Carrozza et al., 2005; Govind et al., 2010; Keogh et al., 2005; Kim and Buratowski, 2009; Kim et al., 2016; Li et al., 2007; Shi et al., 2007). Previous studies demonstrated that Set1 is recruited to promoters by recognizing Ser5-phosphorylated RNAPII (Materne et al., 2015). In contrast, Ser2 phosphorylation was proposed to prevent Set1 recruitment. Depletion of Ssu72 is accompanied by a global change in the RNAPII phosphorylation profile. This is manifested in the establishment of the "promoter-like" RNAPII phosphorylation pattern at terminator regions, namely, increased Ser5 and decreased Ser2 phosphorylation, which might facilitate Set3C function at terminators. Indeed, an increase in the levels of Ssu72-dependent ncRNAs in an RNAPII S2A mutant lacking Ser2 phosphorylation supports this model. Surprisingly, Set1 and Set3C appear to act independently in the repression of cryptic transcription at terminators. Set3C is only required for the repression of terminator-derived antisense transcription when RNAPII phosphorylation is dysregulated. In contrast, Set1 contributes to the repression of antisense transcription at the pom152 locus in the presence of Ssu72 and simultaneous deletion of Set1 and Ssu72 does not show synergistic accumulation of antisense RNAs. However, even though Set3C can also be recruited independently of Set1 (Govind et al., 2010), Set3C does not fully repress antisense transcription, suggesting that despite the "promoter-like" profile of RNAPII phosphorylation observed in the absence of Ssu72, terminators are not as efficient as promoters in suppressing antisense transcription, and other factors in addition to Ser5-P might contribute to mediating efficient recruitment of Hos2 at promotors.

Why does cryptic transcription need to be minimized? Cryptic transcription can affect the expression of the neighboring genes (Berretta et al., 2008; Camblong et al., 2009; Kaikkonen and Adelman, 2018; Shah et al., 2014; Watts et al., 2018). Cryptic transcription does not always affect steady-state levels of RNA expressed from the overlapping gene but can modulate the gene induction kinetics in response to environmental changes (Kim et al., 2012; Venkatesh et al., 2016). This raises an interesting possibility that Ssu72-dependent nc transcription may contribute to gene regulation under specific conditions, although it does not appear to affect the steady-state levels of the overlapping pc transcripts. Accumulation of ncRNA in the nucleus was proposed to lead to increased formation of R-loop structures
(RNA:DNA hybrids) caused by nascent RNA hybridizing to upstream DNA behind elongating RNAPII (Gavaldá et al., 2013; Nojima et al., 2018; Pefanis et al., 2014, 2015; Santos-Pereira and Aguilera, 2015; Skourti-Stathaki et al., 2014) and rendering cells sensitive to genotoxic drugs (Santos-Pereira et al., 2013). Increased pervasive nc transcription could also interfere with DNA replication (Candelli et al., 2018; Soudet et al., 2018). This might explain why cells that show elevated levels of nc transcription due to a lack of both Ssu72 and HDAC Set3C show synthetic growth defects in the presence of genotoxic and DNA-replica-tion-blocking drugs. Future studies are required to understand a potential link between cryptic transcription and sensitivity to drugs observed in these mutants.
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## STAR太METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antibodies |  |  |
| anti-Pol II (8WG16) (mouse) | Millipore | Cat\#05-952; RRID: AB_492629 |
| anti-Pol II CTD phospho Ser2, Clone 3E10 (rat) | Millipore | Cat\#04-1571-I; RRID: AB_11212363 |
| anti-Pol II CTD phospho Ser5, Clone 3E8 (rat) | Millipore | Cat\#04-1572-I; RRID: AB_10615822 |
| anti-Pol II CTD phospho Tyr1, Clone 3D12 (rat) | Active Motif | Cat\#61383; RRID: AB_2793613 |
| anti-Pol II CTD phospho Ser7, Clone 4E12 (rat) | Active Motif | Cat\#61087; RRID: AB_2687452 |
| Anti-Histone H4 Antibody, pan, clone 62-141-13 (rabbit) | Upstate/Millipore | Cat\#05-858; RRID: AB_390138 |
| Anti-acetyl-Histone H4 Antibody (rabbit) | Upstate/Millipore | Cat\#06-598; RRID: AB_2295074 |
| Anti-HA tag antibody - ChIP Grade (rabbit) | Abcam | Cat\#ab9110; RRID: AB_307019 |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins |  |  |
| 6-azauracil | Sigma-Aldrich | CAS: 461-89-2 |
| Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) | Alpha Aesar | H55120; CAS: 66-27-3 |
| Hydroxyurea (HU) | Sigma-Aldrich | H8627; CAS: 127-07-1 |
| Anti-Flag M2 affinity gel | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat\#A2220; RRID: AB_10063035 |
| Protein G Dynabeads | ThermoFisher | Cat\#10004D |
| Streptavidin Sepharose ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ High <br> Performance affinity resin | Cytvia | Cat\#17511301 |
| Critical commercial assays |  |  |
| NEBNext Ultra DNA library Kit for Illumina | NEB | Cat\#E73705 |
| NEBNext Fast DNA Library Prep Set for Ion Torrent | NEB | Cat\#E62705 |
| Deposited data |  |  |
| Raw and analyzed data (RNA- and ChIPSeq) | This manuscript | GEO: GSE144603 and GSE171307 |
| Experimental models: Organisms/strains |  |  |
| Schizosaccharomyces pombe strains generated by this study are YP144 background ( $\mathrm{h}+$, leu1-32, ura4 418 , ade6-M216, his3 $3:: 1$ ). | This manuscript (See Table S6) | N/A |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain used by this study (as a spike in for RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments) is BY4741 (YF336) (MATa, ura3 $\Delta 0$, leu2 $\Delta 0$, his3 31 , met15 $\Delta 0$ ). | Saccharomyces Genome <br> Deletion Project (See Table S6) | N/A |
| Oligonucleotides |  |  |
| Multiple oligonucleotide sequences | This manuscript (See Table S7) | N/A |
| Software and algorithms |  |  |
| Bowtie2 | Langmead and Salzberg, 2012 | http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/ index.shtml |
| Samtools | Li et al., 2009 | http://samtools.sourceforge.net/ |
| deepTools | Ramírez et al., 2016 | https://deeptools.readthedocs.io/en/ develop/ |
| Python3.8 | Python Software Foundation | https://www.python.org/ |

(Continued on next page)

| Continued |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
| R | The R Foundation | https://www.r-project.org/ |
| DESeq2 | Love et al., 2014 | https://bioconductor.org/packages/ <br> release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html |
| Trimmomatic | Bolger et al., 2014 | http://www.usadellab.org/cms/? <br> page=trimmomatic |
| fastp | Chen et al., 2018 | https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp |
| TopHat2 | Kim et al., 2013 | https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index. <br> shtml |
| STAR Dobin et al., 2013 https://github.com/alexdobin/STAR <br> Cufflinks Trapnell et al., 2010 http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/ <br> bedtools Quinlan and Hall, 2010 https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ |  |  |

## RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

## Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Lidia Vasiljeva (lidia.vasilieva@bioch.ox.ac.uk).

## Materials availability

All unique reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact upon request.

## Data and code availability

- All raw sequencing data used in this study were deposited at GEO under accession numbers GEO: GSE144603 and GEO: GSE171307. They are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession number is also listed in the Key resources table.
- This study did not generate any code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the Lead Contact upon request.


## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

## Yeast strains

Chromosomally tagged Schizosaccharomyces pombe strains and mutants were constructed by a PCR-based strategy, by genetic crosses and standard techniques (Bähler et al., 1998). Strains and all genetic manipulations were verified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), sequencing and phenotype. All yeast strains used in this work except those derived from the strains obtained from other labs are isogenic to YP144 background and are listed in the Key resources table and Table S6.

## METHOD DETAILS

## Yeast techniques

Yeast cultures were inoculated from overnight cultures, grown using standard growth conditions and media (Forsburg, 2003). For ChIP-Seq, RNA-Seq, Northern blot yeast strains were grown in YES media ( $0.5 \%$ yeast extract, $3 \%$ glucose, $0.0225 \%$ supplements (Histidine, Adenine, Uracil, Leucine, Lysine) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.6 \sim 0.7$. For drug sensitivity assays, cells from overnight cultures were counted and diluted before being spotted on plates containing the indicated concentrations of drugs. To test the sensitivity of the strains to 6-AU, WT and ssu72 ${ }^{2}$ were grown on minimal media lacking uracil with DMSO or $6-\mathrm{AU}(500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) as indicated. The sensitivity of cells to DNA damaging agents was tested on YES media with or without $0.01 \%$ of MMS (Alpha Aesar, H55120) or $5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{HU}$ (Sigma-Aldrich, H8627). Serial dilutions of the cells were plated onto indicated media. The cells were grown for 4 days at indicated temperatures.

## Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-Seq and ChIP-qPCR)

All ChIP-Seq experiments were carried out in duplicates except Ser7-P ChIP-Seq. Chromatin was prepared as previously described (Kecman et al., 2018b). Briefly, exponentially growing cells ( 200 ml ) were crosslinked with $1 \%$ formaldehyde solution for 20 min at
room temperature. For spike-in control, S. cerevisiae cells were added to $S$. pombe cells at a $1: 10$ ratio before cross-linking. Thirty milliliters of a solution of 3 M glycine, 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ was used to quench the reaction. Cells were pelleted and washed once with cold TBS and once with FA lysis buffer ( 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $1 \%$ Triton X-100, $0.1 \% \mathrm{Na}$ Deoxycholate) with $0.1 \%$ SDS. To prepare chromatin, cells were resuspended in FAlysis buffer with $0.5 \%$ SDS and vortexed for 30 cycles of 1 min vortexing and 1 min on ice. The lysate was ultracentrifuged ( $150,000 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and the pellet crushed in lysis buffer. Samples were sheared for 80 min with a sonication cycle of $15 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{ON} / 45 \mathrm{~s}$ OFF with a Biorupter sonicator, and ultracentrifuged ( $150,000 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to yield sheared chromatin (300-500 bp DNA fragments) in the supernatant. At this point the concentration of NaCl was adjusted to 275 mM .

Immunoprecipitation was carried out using antibodies against Rpb1 (8WG16, Millipore), Ser2-P CTD (3E10, Millipore), Ser5-P CTD (3E8, Millipore), Tyr1-P CTD (3D12, Active Motif) and Ser7-P CTD (4E12, Active Motif) preincubated with protein G Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) and antibodies against H4 (05-858, Upstate/Millipore), H4KAc (06-598, Upstate/Millipore) and Flag (A2220, Millipore) and HA (ab9110, Abcam) epitope tags. After washing and eluting bound material from the beads, protein was removed by incubation with 0.2 mg pronase for 1 h at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by overnight incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RNA was degraded by incubating samples with 0.02 mg RNase A (Roche) for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA was then purified using ChIP DNA Clean \& Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Libraries were prepared using NEBNext Fast DNA Library Prep Set for Ion Torrent kit for Rpb1, Ser2-P and Tyr1-P or NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina for Ser5-P and Ser7-P. The libraries were sequenced on the Ion Torrent Proton or the Illumina NextSeq 500. For ChIP-qPCR, PCR was carried out using primer pairs from Table $S 7$ as shown in Figures 6A, 6C, and S3E. The number of replicates is indicated in the figure legends.

## ChIP-Seq data analysis

Sequenced reads were trimmed by Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014), aligned to a concatenated genome (S. pombe + S. cerevisiae) using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and reads were separated by species-specific chromosome names. After the removal of reads mapped more than once, uniquely mapped reads were obtained by SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). To assess changes in protein occupancy between strains, a spike-in normalization was used where $S$. pombe reads were adjusted using the number of S. cerevisiae reads in each IP sample. Genomic DNA sequencing of the input mixture of fission and budding yeast was also used to correct for any variation in cell mixture ratios. Bigwig and bedgraph files were generated by deepTools (Ramírez et al., 2016). Genome annotation was used from the study by Eser et al. (2016). For heatmaps shown in Figures 1 and 2, custom scripts were used. For metagene and traveling ratio analysis quantifying the ratio between the promoter ( 200 bp downstream of TSS) and the terminator proximal signal (200 bp upstream of poly(A) site - PAS) of RNApII, the number of reads within specified regions were calculated by deepTools (Ramírez et al., 2016) and plotted using custom scripts.

## RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq)

For spike-in normalization, the $S$. cerevisiae cells were added to $S$. pombe at 1:10 ratio prior to RNA isolation except series of experiments for rpb1-CTD-S2A where purified RNA was used instead. Total RNA was extracted using a standard hot phenol method and treated with RNase-free DNase RQ1 (Promega) to remove any DNA contamination. Libraries for RNA-Seq experiments were prepared and sequenced by the High-throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform for WT and ssu72 $\Delta$ strains. For the second batch of experiments, RNA isolated from WT, ssu72 , hos $2 \Delta$ and ssu72 2 hos2 2 strains was depleted from rRNA using Ribo-Zero rRNA removal kit (Illumina) and then libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500. These experiments showed lower coverage, which affected the number of antisense transcripts detected (212 antisense transcripts were found to increase more than 1.5 times in ssu72 compared to WT (in contrast to the first batch of experiments /WT and ssu72 only/ with 519 antisense above this threshold). Nevertheless, high concordance is observed in assigned upregulated antisense in ssu72 comparing first and second RNA-Seq batches.

## RNA-Seq data analysis

Sequenced reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic or fastp (Bolger et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2018), aligned to a concatenated genome using TopHat2 or STAR (Dobin et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). Reads were separated by species-specific chromosome names. Uniquely mapped reads were extracted using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). Fission yeast genome annotation from (Eser et al., 2016) was used in downstream analyses except for analyses to identify potential $3^{\prime}$ read-through cases where annotation was extended with TUs from the Pombase database (Lock et al., 2019). Differential gene expression analysis was carried out using DESeq2, an R package (Love et al., 2014). Gene ontology analysis was performed using a web-based tool AnGeLi (Bitton et al., 2015). Metagenes were prepared with deepTools (Ramírez et al., 2016). For scaled metagenes, a matrix generated by deepTools was used to transform the signal of each TU to range 0-1 with a custom python script and this matrix served for the final metagene plot. Changes in the phosphorylation patterns were also evaluated with deepTools and plotted with custom python scripts. Random selection of genes was repeated several times, results were comparable, representative plots were selected. Statistical analysis was performed using python libraries.

## Identification of novel transcripts

All transcripts were assembled from uniquely mapped reads obtained from two replicates of WT and ssu72 gram (Trapnell et al., 2010; Venkatesh et al., 2016). Transcripts on each strand were merged if reads were not separated by more than 5 nt . To keep only transcripts expressed in both biological replicates, BEDTools were used using "intersect -s" option (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). All transcripts obtained from WT and ssu72s were combined by conventional Linux command and the resultant transcripts were sorted and merged by BEDTools. The novel transcripts were chosen to have no more than $10 \%$ overlap with any annotated TU reciprocally by BEDTools using "intersect -wa -s -v -f 0.1 -r" options. Transcripts that were longer than 5 kb or shorter than 50 bp were filtered out. The transcripts suppressed by Ssu72 were collected based on abundance (> 1FPKM) and fold change ( $>\log _{2}(1.5)$ ) compared to WT using a custom script and DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). Surprisingly, the length of the predicted antisense transcripts tends to be comparable to the length of the overlapping annotated TU. The majority of the predicted novel TSS ( 245 out of predicted 277 transcripts, $88.4 \%$ ) were located within $\pm 300$ nt from annotated PAS whereas only $13 \%$ ( 36 out of 277) of predicted TSS' were within the window of annotated TSS on the opposite strand. Only minimal overlap was observed between novel transcripts and XUTs (van Dijk et al., 2011; Wery et al., 2018).

## Identification of genes with potential $3^{\prime}$ read-through transcription

Pooled annotation from Eser et al. (2016) and Lock et al. (2019) was filtered to exclude TUs that have another gene on the same strand in the 250bp window upstream TSS or downstream PAS. For each TU RNA-Seq read density was calculated in a 250 bp window downstream PAS using deepTools. The signal for biological replicates was averaged and the standard deviation was calculated. The ratio between ssu72 upstream PAS (also calculated in 250bp window, to account for gene expression changes). If the corrected signal downstream PAS was higher than two-fold between ssu72 $\Delta$ and WT , the normalized standard deviation was lower than 0.6 , WT cells had at least 10 reads in the region upstream PAS and ssu $72 \Delta$ had at least 5 reads downstream PAS, the gene was selected to have increased in the read-through and resultant 507 cases have been identified. This group includes protein-coding ( $n=441$ ), multicistronic ( $n=37$ ) and non-coding ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) genes. The actual number of genes dependent on Ssu72 for transcription termination might be higher since we excluded genes with other TUs nearby on the same strand and selected genes that show > 2-fold increase in the $3^{\prime}$ reads. Indeed, Ssu72 was proposed to contribute to transcription termination of ncRNA prt (Vo et al., 2019) produced from the promoter located upstream of the pho1 gene (Shah et al., 2014; Yamanaka et al., 2013), which is excluded from our analyses due to above-mentioned requirements. Reducing stringency of selection (>1.5-fold increase in $3^{\prime}$ reads) leads to the identification of the higher number of TUs with the read-through transcription $(\mathrm{n}=896)$.

## Northern blot

Total RNA was extracted by a hot phenol method. $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA was loaded per lane and resolved on $1.2 \%$ agarose gel containing $6.7 \%$ formaldehyde in MOPS buffer. After capillary transfer in $10 \times$ SSC onto Hybond N+ membranes (GE Healthcare), RNA was UVcrosslinked and stained with methylene blue. Gene-specific probes were generated by PCR using oligos listed in Table S7 and labeled by random priming in the presence of $\left[\alpha-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP using the Prime-It II Random Primer Labeling Kit (Agilent). The membrane was hybridized at $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After repeated washes in $2 \times$ SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS, blots were exposed on Amersham Hyperfilm MP (GE Healthcare) or quantified with a FLA-7000 phosphoimager (Fujifilm). Methylene blue was used to visualize rRNA.

## Hos2 purification

$3 x$ Flag-tagged Hos2 was purified from 20 L of yeast culture grown in YES to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $1.8 \sim 2$. The cells were disrupted by a freezer mill (SPEX SamplePrep). The cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation at $30,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 45 mins and incubated with 1 mL of M 2 beads (Sigma) in binding buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $0.5 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,10 \%$ glycerol) containing a proteinase inhibitor cocktail for 2 hr at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Beads were washed 3 times with lysis buffer and 3 times with washing buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}\left(\mathrm{pH} 7.5\right.$ ), $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 10 \%$ glycerol), then Hos2 complex were eluted with 2 mL of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Flag peptide (Sigma) in elution buffer ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 10 \%$ glycerol). Samples were concentrated using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filters ( 3 kDa ) and subjected to gel filtration using Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.6,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol, $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. Hos2 complex was resolved on NuPAGE 4\%-12\% Bis-Tris gel (Thermo Fisher scientific), visualized by silver staining and identified by mass spectrometry (Oxford Advanced Proteomics Facility).

## In vitro transcription

To purify RNAplI, 3xFlag or 5xFlag tag was introduced on Rpb9 or Rpb3 subunits in the WT or Ssu72 deficient cells, respectively. Mutant ssu72 4 and WT cells were grown to $0.9 \mathrm{OD}_{600}$. Pellets from 20 L per strain were mechanically disrupted in liquid nitrogen using a freezer mill (SPEX SamplePrep). Powder was mixed with 100 mL of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris- HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl , $10 \%$ glycerol, $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right)$ supplemented with a proteinase inhibitor cocktail and 0.4 mM final sodium orthovanadate. The lysate was centrifuged for 20 mins at 40000 g and incubated with 4 mL of ANTI-FLAG® M2 Affinity Gel slurry (Sigma) (equilibrated with lysis buffer) for 1.5 hr . Beads were washed four times with 15 mL of wash buffer
( 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ urea, $10 \%$ glycerol, $0.5 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{DTT}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, ~ 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ) and 4 times with low salt buffer buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.6$ ), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $0.05 \%$ Triton X-100, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right)$. RNApll was eluted twice with 2.5 mL of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Flag-peptide (Sigma) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT, $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, ~, ~ 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. Eluted RNApll was mixed with 45 mL of QA buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}\left(\mathrm{pH} 7.5\right.$ ), $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol) to decrease salt concentration. Protein was further purified on the ion exchange chromatography column ( $2 \times 1 \mathrm{ml}$ HiTrap Q HP, GE Healthcare) equilibrated with QA buffer. The column was washed with several column volumes of $8 \%$ buffer QB (same as QA, except 2000 mM NaCl ) until a stable baseline was achieved. RNApll was eluted with a gradient of QB buffer (up to 60\%). Fractions containing RNApII were mixed and diluted with $75 \%$ glycerol to reach $50 \%$ and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

Elongation complexes were assembled in transcription buffer, $\mathrm{TB}(20 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.9), 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 40 mM KCl) using 5 nM RNApII, 50 nM 13 nt long RNA (RNA13), 50 nM template (T-REB37) and 500 nM non-template (NT-REB37-Biotin) DNA strands (IDT, details of sequences in Table S7), and complexes immobilised on Streptavidin Sepharose ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ High Performance beads (Cytiva) via biotin on non-template strand as previously described (Nielsen et al., 2013). RNA was labeled during walking with [ $\alpha-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ ] CTP and $\left[\alpha_{-}{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP (Hartmann Analytic) to produce RNA17. Beads were washed with TB containing 0.5 M KCl and then with TB. Transcription was started by the addition of NTPs to concentrations indicated in the figure and $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ and carried out at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for times indicated in the figure. Reactions were stopped with formamide-containing buffer, and products resolved by denaturing PAGE and visualized using Phosphorimager (Typhoon Trio; GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

## QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All ChIP-seq, RNA-seq were performed in at least two biological replicas. Statistical analysis was done using Mann-Whitney U test for independent, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test for matching gene sets and is indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Correction for multiple testing was performed using the Bonferroni method if required. Data were presented as medians or means. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM) and this information is provided in the figure legends.


[^0]:    (C) Purification of the Hos2 HDAC complex. Gel-filtration elution fractions containing Hos2 were analyzed by silver-stained SDS-PAGE and mass spectrometry. The diagram depicts the Hos2/Set3 complexes in S. cerevisiae (bottom) and S. pombe (top).
    (D) The violin plot of levels of novel AS transcripts and previously annotated ncRNA ( $\log _{2}$ value spike-in normalized reads) in indicated strains. These plots are based on the second batch of experiments; compare Figures 5A and 5E and STAR Methods section. The significance is calculated according to the MannWhitney U test.
    (E) Hos2 deletion enhances AS transcription in the absence of Ssu72. MA plots of shrunk $\log _{2}$ fold changes illustrate changes in the AS production in indicated strains. Each dot represents annotated non-overlapped TU for which AS reads were compared between strains; gray reflects no change, and red and blue depict AS transcripts that were upregulated or downregulated ( $>1.5$ fold), respectively. These analyses are based on the second batch of experiments (WT, ssu72 hos2 2 , and ssu2
    See also Figures S2 and S3 and Tables S4 and S5.

[^1]:    (C) Msc6-HA ChIP-qPCR. Positions of primer pairs used for qPCR are depicted as back bars. The quantification of ChIP-qPCR shows the ratio of IP over input relative to $W T$. The error bars represent SEM. Experiments were repeated 3 times except for the mock (non-tagged strain, $n=2$ ).
    (D) Boxplots indicating changes in RNAPII Ser5-P/total RNAPII (8WG16) in ssu724 over 150 nt of the TSS and PAS of genes with Ssu72-dependent AS transcripts (277 TUs) (pink) and randomly selected 554 genes (green). Statistical analysis as in (B).
    See also Figure S3.

[^2]:    (E) Model depicting proposed mechanism for Ssu72 and Hos2/Set3C in the suppression of the cryptic transcription. Loss of Ssu72 leads to increased levels of Ser5-P RNAPII at the terminator regions. The decreased amount of RNAPII reaching the terminator might lead to an increase in the initiation of the AS transcription (as suggested by the Msc6 ChIP). For simplicity, the model depicts only RNAPIls at the promoter (for sense orientation) and terminator (for AS orientation). Loss of Ssu72 and Hos3/Set3C leads to an additive increase in AS transcription possibly due to a combined effect of decreased RNAPII elongation at terminators and altered acetylation of Hos2 substrates.
    See also Figure S4.

